United States District Court

for the District of North Carolina Western United States of America v. Case No: 0419 3:12CR00030-001 Freddie Andaya USM No: 19104-057 Date of Original Judgment: 07/30/2014 Date of Previous Amendment: 07/25/2023 Pro se Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)** Upon motion of \square the defendant \square the Director of the Bureau of Prisons \square the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is: \boxtimes DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment months is reduced to (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of (See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 08/14/2014 (as amended 07/25/2023) shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed: July 11, 2024 Frank D. Whitney Effective Date:

(if different from order date)

United States District Judge

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: Freddie Andaya					
CASE NUMBER: 0419 3:22CR00	030		_		
DISTRICT: Western District of No	rth Carolina		- -		
L COLIDE DESERVANATION	OF CLUDE				
I. COURT DETERMINATION Previous Total Offense Level:	OF GUIDE	LINE KANG	Amended Total Offense Level:		
Criminal History Category:			Criminal History Category:		
Previous Guideline Range:	to	months	Amended Guideline Range:	to	months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO ☐ The reduced sentence is within					
☐ The previous term of imprisor	ıment impose	ed was less tl	nan the guideline range applicable	e to the defendar	nt at the
C			departure or Rule 35 reduction, a	and the reduced	sentence
is comparably less than the an	_	_			
☐ The reduced sentence is above	the amende	d guideline r	ange.		

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

Defendant is not eligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing guidelines. "Status Points" were not applied to calculate Defendant's criminal history category in this case, therefore he is not eligible under Part A of the Amendment. Defendant also does not meet the criteria for a "Zero-Point Offender" reduction under § 4C1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines because he is excluded under subsection (a)(7): Defendant possessed firearms in connection with the offense. Defendant is further excluded under subsection (a)(10): Defendant received an aggravating role adjustment under § 3B1.1. Therefore, he is not eligible for a sentence reduction under Part B of the Amendment.